Acta Crystallographica Section C
Crystal Structure
Communications
ISSN 0108-2701

# Solid-state transformation of 4,2'-an-hydro-5-( $\beta$-D-arabinofuranosyl)uracil dihydrate to 4,2'-anhydro-5-( $\beta$-Darabinofuranosyl)uracil monohydrate 
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Crystals of 4,2'-anhydro-5-( $\beta$-D-arabinofuranosyl)uracil, (I), obtained from an aqueous solution, were characterized as the dihydrate, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (Ia). In air, these crystals slowly transform to the monohydrate, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (Ib), but remain crystalline. The solid-state transformation proceeds with the loss of one water molecule and a rearrangement of hydrogen-bonded layers of molecules. The furanose ring in (I) has an approximate $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$-exo, $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$-endo twist conformation. The central five-membered ring is slightly puckered. The uracil group is planar within experimental uncertainty.

## Comment

A number of nucleosides in which the ribofuranosyl moiety is linked to a heterocyclic base through a carbon-carbon bond (hence they are called C-nucleosides) have been found in nature (Suhadolnik, 1970, 1979). The first example was pseudouridine, which was isolated in 1957 (Davis \& Allen, 1957;

(Ia) $n=2$
(Ib) $n=1$
Cohn, 1957). Its crystal structure has been reported by Hempel et al. (1997). Pseudouridine is present ubiquitously in tRNA. Many C-nucleosides are antibiotics and exhibit anticancer and/or antiviral activity (Watanabe, 1994). We present here the crystal structures of $4,2^{\prime}$-anhydro- 5 - $(\beta$ - D -arabinofuranosyl)uracil as its di-, ( $a(a)$, and monohydrate, ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ). This anhydro C-nucleoside has been used successfully as an intermediate for the specific inversion or replacement of the ribose O2'-hydroxyl group (Reichman et al., 1976; Chu et al., 1978). The structure analysis of (I) was undertaken to establish the
influence of the $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ cyclization on the molecular conformation. Crystals of (I) taken from an aqueous solution corresponded to the dihydrate ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ). In air, these crystals slowly lost water and transformed to the monohydrate, (Ib), without losing their crystallinity. At room temperature, this transformation proceeds within a few days (see Experimental). Thus, the structure determinations of ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) and ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ) were undertaken to clarify the nature of the solid-state transformation.

The molecular conformations in (I $a$ ) and (Ib) are very similar. Torsion angles among corresponding bonds in ( $\mathrm{I} a)$ and (Ib) agree within $4^{\circ}$. The five-membered furanose ring has an approximate $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$-exo, $\mathrm{O} 4^{\prime}$-endo twist conformation. The ringpuckering parameters defined by Cremer \& Pople (1975) are $q=0.351 \AA$ and $\varphi=346.1^{\circ}$ in ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ), and $q=0.373 \AA$ and $\varphi=$ $341.7^{\circ}$ in (Ib).

The side chain attached to $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ is in a pseudo-equatorial position with respect to the five-membered ring. The central five-membered ring has a $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C1}^{\prime}$ twist conformation, with ring puckering parameters $q=0.114 \AA$ and $\varphi=51.9^{\circ}$ in ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ), and $q=$ $0.094 \AA$ and $\varphi=57.6^{\circ}$ in (Ib). The uracil ring is planar within experimental uncertainty. A $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$-exo, $\mathrm{O} 4^{\prime}$-endo sugar conformation has also been observed for one of the two independent molecules of $2,2^{\prime}$-anhydro-1- $\beta$-D-arabinofuranosyl-6-methyluracil (Główka et al., 1986).


(a)

(b)

Figure 1
The molecules of (a) ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) and (b) ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ) shown with $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids.


Figure 2
The projection of the crystal packing of ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) shown down the $b$ axis.
A rather different conformation between a $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$-endo envelope and a $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$-endo, $\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}$-exo twist has been observed for the furanose ring in a number of related cyclic nucleosides (Suck \& Saenger, 1973; Neidle et al., 1979). An O4'-endo envelope conformation was observed by Parkanyi \& Kálmán (1995), while a C3'-exo, $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$-endo conformation was observed by Purkayastha et al. (1990). Thus, the furanose ring can have more than one conformation despite the constraints imposed by the $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ cyclization.

The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths at O 4 are very asymmetrical. The $C 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 4$ lengths of 1.470 (1) $\AA$ in ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) and 1.471 (3) $\AA$ in ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ) are rather long for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ single bonds; the $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 4$ lengths of 1.343 (1) $\AA$ in ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) and 1.343 (3) $\AA$ in ( $\mathrm{I} b)$, on the other hand, are rather short. Similar bond-length differences have been observed in cyclic nucleosides. The shortening of the $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 4$ bond length has been attributed to overlap of the $\pi$ orbital at O4 with the $\pi$ system of the uracil group (Główka et al., 1986).

The $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 4^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 4^{\prime}$ bond lengths are almost equal. A shortening of the $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ bond due to anomeric effects is generally observed in N -nucleosides. In C-nucleosides, however, such a bond shortening does not occur (Hempel et al., 1997; Birnbaum et al., 1980).


Figure 3
The projection of the crystal packing of (Ib) shown down the $b$ axis.

Both crystal structures contain three-dimensional networks of hydrogen bonds (Tables 1 and 2). Layers of 4,2'-anhydro-5( $\beta$-D-arabinofuranosyl)uracil molecules in the $a b$ direction occur in both ( $\mathrm{I} a)$ and ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ). Molecules within these layers are connected by intermolecular $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 01 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{O5}^{\prime}-$ $\mathrm{H} 05^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ hydrogen bonds. The layers are also stabilized by additional intermolecular weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ interactions, which have been included in Tables 1 and 2. The dominant weak interaction is a bifurcated contact involving the C6-H6 bond. The significance of such weak interactions has been outlined by Desiraju \& Steiner (1999).

The $4,2^{\prime}$-anhydro-5-( $\beta$-D-arabinofuranosyl)uracil layers are connected in the $c$ direction by water molecules. The O8 water molecule occupies very similar positions in structures (I $a$ ) and ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ). It is connected by two $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds to the organic layer. Water molecule O 7 in ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) connects two adjacent layers and is hydrogen bonded to O8. A similar water position is missing in the structure of ( $\mathrm{I} b)$. Thus, the transition of the dihydrate structure to the monohydrate structure can be understood as the loss of O7 from the structure of ( $\mathrm{I} a)$. Then adjacent organic layers are displaced by $a / 2$. In this way, a new intermolecular $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\prime} 3^{\prime} \ldots \mathrm{O} 8$ hydrogen bond is formed in $(\mathrm{I} b)$ which replaces the $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\prime} 3^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{O} 7$ linkage in $(\mathrm{I} a)$. This displacement of $a / 2$ between adjacent layers means that only every second layer should translate. Thus, little overall deformation of the crystal is required. The cell of ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ) is approximately related to the cell of ( $\mathrm{I} a)$ by the relations $a_{\mathrm{I} b}=$ $a_{\mathrm{I} a}, b_{\mathrm{I} b}=-b_{\mathrm{I} a}$ and $c_{\mathrm{I} b}=-c_{\mathrm{I} a}-a_{\mathrm{I} a} / 2$.

## Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to the methods of Reichman et al. (1976) and Chu et al. (1978). Crystallization from water resulted in the dihydrate, (Ia). At room temperature and in air, the crystals slowly lose water and transform to the corresponding monohydrate, ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ), without losing their crystallinity. The crystal of (Ia) used for the data collection was cut from a large rod taken from an aqueous solution and was immediately cooled to 135 K . The crystal of ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ) used for the data collection was obtained from water but had been stored in air for several months.

## Compound (la)

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=262.22$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1}$
$a=6.0315$ (7) $\AA$
$b=7.2490$ (8) $\AA$
$c=12.8693$ (19) $\AA$
$\beta=91.921$ (9) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=562.36(12) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.549 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 207

> reflections
$\theta=3-23^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.135 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=135$ (2) K
Block, colorless
$0.60 \times 0.54 \times 0.34 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Siemens SMART diffractometer

## $\omega$ scans

Absorption correction: numerical
(SHELXTL; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.916, T_{\text {max }}=0.961$
10536 measured reflections
1970 independent reflections
1942 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {int }}=0.039 \\
& \theta_{\max }=32.29^{\circ} \\
& h=-8 \rightarrow 8 \\
& k=-9 \rightarrow 10 \\
& l=-17 \rightarrow 19 \\
& 210 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \text { frequency: } 600 \text { min } \\
& \text { intensity decay: none }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ ) for (I $a$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | D-H | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} 03^{\prime} \ldots \mathrm{O} 7$ | 0.87 (2) | 1.73 (2) | 2.600 (1) | 178 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} 05^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {i }}$ | 0.92 (2) | 1.80 (2) | 2.701 (1) | 167 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 01 \cdots \mathrm{O} 5^{\text {'ii }}$ | 0.85 (2) | 1.88 (2) | 2.732 (1) | 178 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{H} 07 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 8^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.76 (3) | 1.97 (3) | 2.736 (1) | 177 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{H} 07 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {/iv }}$ | 0.78 (3) | 2.08 (3) | 2.855 (1) | 178 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 08 A \cdots{ }^{\text {c }}{ }^{\prime}$ | 0.83 (3) | 1.98 (3) | 2.807 (1) | 174 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 08 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {v }}$ | 0.83 (2) | 2.05 (2) | 2.855 (1) | 164 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} 2^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {v }}$ | 1.00 (2) | 2.62 (2) | 3.511 (1) | 149 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \ldots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {i }}$ | 0.97 (2) | 2.63 (2) | 3.551 (1) | 159 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.89 (2) | 2.57 (2) | 3.355 (1) | 148 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 3^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.89 (2) | 2.53 (2) | 3.362 (1) | 155 (2) |

Symmetry codes: (i) $1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y,-z$; (ii) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2},-z$; (iii) $2-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, 1-z$; (iv) $1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, 1-z$; (v) $1-x, y-\frac{1}{2},-z$; (vi) $x-1, y, z$.

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.025$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.076$
$S=1.377$
1970 reflections
220 parameters
All H -atom parameters refined

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.05 P)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.31 \mathrm{e}^{\circ} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.27 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \\
& \text { Extinction correction: } S H E L X L 97 \\
& \text { Extinction coefficient: } 0.31(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Compound (Ib)

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=244.21$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1}$
$a=6.024$ (2) A
$b=7.218$ (3) $\AA$
$c=12.357$ (4) $\AA$
$\beta=101.40$ (4) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=526.7$ (3) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
Data collection
Siemens SMART diffractometer $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: numerical (SHELXTL; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.946, T_{\text {max }}=0.991$
10608 measured reflections
1808 independent reflections
1443 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.054$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.110$
$S=1.376$
1808 reflections
202 parameters

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ) for (Ib).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} 03^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.88 (4) | 1.79 (4) | 2.663 (3) | 175 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O5}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} 05^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.93 (5) | 1.82 (5) | 2.735 (3) | 169 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 01 \cdots \mathrm{O} 5^{\text {riii }}$ | 0.84 (4) | 1.91 (4) | 2.744 (3) | 175 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 08 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.83 (5) | 2.06 (5) | 2.862 (3) | 162 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 08 A \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {'ii }}$ | 0.84 (8) | 1.91 (8) | 2.728 (3) | 165 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.91 (4) | 2.56 (4) | 3.406 (4) | 155 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} 3^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.95 (3) | 2.59 (3) | 3.479 (3) | 155 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \cdots{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.97 (4) | 2.48 (3) | 3.337 (4) | 146 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \cdots{ }^{\text {v }}$ | 0.97 (4) | 2.51 (4) | 3.379 (4) | 148 (2) |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y, z-1$; (ii) $1-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, 2-z$; (iii) $-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, 2-z$; (iv) $1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, 2-z$; (v) $x-1, y, z$.
monohydrate was approximately complete. The crystal of (I $a$ ) was of good quality with narrow reflection profiles (peak-width at halfheight of about $0.45^{\circ}$ ). Reflection profiles for the crystal of (Ib) were very broad (peak-width at half-height of about 1.2 to $1.4^{\circ}$ ).

For both compounds, data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: $S M A R T$; data reduction: SAINT (Siemens, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1996); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: $X P$ in $S H E L X T L$; software used to prepare material for publication: CIF in SHELXL97.
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Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: AV1044). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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